

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,661	09/03/2003	Thomas Fontana	ARZ-023635-11	8644
	90 11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard C. Ste International Page	per		ACQUAH, SAMUEL A	
1422 Long Meadow Road Tuxedo, NY 10987			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	0707		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/654,661	FONTANA, THOMAS				
ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TL. MAN INC. D.	SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of TOFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, pante quayro, 1000 0.b.	11, 400 0.6. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	olootion monutaria					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to Sec. 37 CER 4 404(4)						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ο <b>Π</b>					
2) Wotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	nary (PTO-413) il Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 📙 Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)  Other:					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action	n Cummun.					

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-64 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 10/384,075. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the phenolic compound that is at least tri-functional with respect to aldehyde reactivity is obviously the same as phenol which is recited as being contained in at least 25 pbw in the composition of the '075.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in

scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer  $\underline{\text{cannot}}$  overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 65-99 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 10/384,075. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 5. Claims 28, 39, 41, 42, 44, 68, 70, 72, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite amounts "up to...". The recitation includes amounts of "zero", thus making the ingredient an optional component. However, said components are essential components and cannot be zero in amount, which makes the ingredient non-existent in the composition. Thus, the recitations render the claims indefinite and/or confusing.

6. Claims 74 and 75 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The independent claim 65 recites that the phenolic compound is at least 25 wt%, while claim 74 recites an amount of "up to 50 wt%", which includes amounts less than 25 wt%. A similar explanation applies to claim 75 which recites amounts of 5-15 wt%. These claims do not further limit claim 65 from which they depend.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661

Art Unit: 1711

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-65 and 100-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bender et al 5,405,932.

The cited prior art discloses a process for an oil-soluble, phenolic resin-modified natural resinic acid esters which are able to form sel-gelling mineral oil solutions, and can be used in ink formulations. The composition comprises fatty acid, resin acid, aldehyde, and at least tri-functional phenolic compound. The process comprises heating the reactants at elevated temperature and for a time sufficient to form the resin. The composition further comprises polyol, unsaturated olefinic compound, and metal oxide. See columns 2-13. All the physical characteristics of the claimed process composition would be inherent in the prior art process if not expressly disclosed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 571-272-1065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661

Art Unit: 1711

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.A.A. 10/30/04 SAMUELA. ACQUAH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP <del>1220</del> /7000